

# Making Maximum \$ and Maximum Use from VFE

## Part 1: Developing Functional Specifications

*Whil Hentzen  
Hentzenwerke Corporation  
735 N. Water Street  
Milwaukee, WI 53202-4104  
Voice: 414.224.7654  
Fax: 414.224.7650  
email: [whil@hentzenwerke.com](mailto:whil@hentzenwerke.com)*

### **Overview**

In this session, you will learn how to write a complete specification for custom applications with Visual FoxExpress.

## **An Argument for Fixed Price Work**

Skill level of developers run the gamut from highly skilled to completely incompetent. Customers have no way to determine the difference. You are closer to the highly skilled arena than the other side, but you can't charge proportionately more. Suppose you're ten times more productive than the guy down the road who charges \$40 an hour. Can you charge \$400 an hour? Not likely. So, given your higher skill level, how do you make more money? It follows that if you possess a high skill level, you can deliver the same product for less money, or deliver more product for the less money, or deliver more product for the same money as the other guy.

As a result, if you quote applications with a fixed price, you can potentially make more money per unit of time than if you bill hourly.

Doing work on a fixed price basis can be done with three components. First, a detailed specification that defines exactly what will be delivered. Second, a mechanism for determining the cost for producing the application. And third, a set of tools for efficiently producing it.

This session covers the first component - how to produce a detailed specification that will define what will be delivered, and how to work with the customer to make it. The second session will show you how to determine your cost for the application that you have designed. And the rest of the sessions at this event will show you how to use FoxExpress to manufacture the application.

## **Preparing the Customer for the Specification Process**

Some customers are reluctant to pay for the design of an application, arguing that (1) the guy down the road will do it for free, or (2) that the design is part of the sales process and thus the cost should be born by the vendor. How do you counter these arguments?

First, the relationship with a customer begins when they first ask for work to be performed. At this point, it's time to define the terms under which you will work. This typically can be done with an Engagement Letter that defines the process of developing a specification, the charges that the customer will incur, and describes what the specification will cover. At this point, the customer can decide whether they want to continue or not.

By describing the contents of a specification to the customer at this point, they will learn that what the guy down the road means by specification - three sheets of paper thrown together while watching Jay Leno the night before - and your specification - a complete design document that is sufficiently detailed to allow a programmer to produce and a tester to test with minimal followup questioning.

An analogy to blueprints for buildings or machinery is also useful in explaining why the specification carries a price and why it's not a trivial process.

## **Components of a Specification**

### **Cover Letter**

Description

Price

Delivery Timeframe

Explanation of Fixed Price

Terms and Conditions

Acceptance

### **Specification**

Overview

General Description

Functionality

Special Terminology

### **Technical Specifications**

Application Architecture

Directory Structure

File Structure

Original Data

Application Access

### **General Interface and Notes**

Generic Screens

Toolbars

## **Menu Structure**

File

Edit

Process

Utilities

Help

## **Description of a Typical Screen**

Purpose

Access

Usage

Screen Objects

Rules

## **Description of a Typical Process**

## **Description of a Typical Report**

Purpose

Detail Entity

Filter

Order/Group

Fields/Objects

Calculated Fields

General Disclaimer

## **Typical Utilities**

Preferences

Data Sets

Password

User Maintenance

Data Maintenance

System Maintenance

## **Data Sets**

Test Data

Environment

Operating System

Hardware Requirements

Third Party Software

Interaction with Environment

Throughput Analysis

## **Implementation**

Installation

Deliverables

Test Methodology

Test Plan

Modifications

Milestones

# Making Maximum \$ and Maximum Use from VFE

## Part 2: Costing Applications

*Whil Hentzen  
Hentzenwerke Corporation  
735 N. Water Street  
Milwaukee, WI 53202-4104  
Voice: 414.224.7654  
Fax: 414.224.7650  
email: [whil@hentzenwerke.com](mailto:whil@hentzenwerke.com)*

### **Overview**

In this session, you will learn how to determine your cost to develop an application developed with Visual FoxExpress. Attendance at the first session or a familiarity with functional specifications is highly recommended.

## **The Difference between Cost and Price**

It's critical to realize that the cost for producing an application isn't necessarily the price. In fact, it probably shouldn't be, else, you won't make a profit, and most businesses are based on a profit motive.

The goal of determining your cost is two fold: first, you don't want to price your work below your cost, and, second, as mentioned in the first session, the way to maximize your revenue, and your profits, is to quote applications on a fixed price. The higher this price is, the higher your revenues and profits will be. The key, as discussed in the first session, is that you have to be explicit about what is being delivered for that price.

It is incumbent upon you to determine the value of the application to the customer, so that you can price it accordingly. The only thing that this costing methodology will do is make sure that you don't price below your cost.

# The Costing Methodology

The basic premise behind this costing methodology is to determine “how many things” are in your application, and to determine your cost for producing a “thing”, and then to multiply the two numbers together.

## Counting “Things”

An application can be broken down into five categories: Forms, Processes, Reports, Foundation, and Other Stuff. Each of these can be broken down further to determine the number of “things” in an application.

Because the different components of an application look and feel different, and have different degrees of difficulty of production, it is nearly impossible to assign standard costs for each part. Instead, what we do is categorize each type of component as granularly as possible, and then assign weights to each piece. Multiplying the number of components times the weight of a specific component results in a number that relates to the total size or scope of the application.

The components are based on a unit that we call an Action Point. For example, a label on a form would be worth one Action Point, while a validation for a check box might be worth three Action Points. If the form had five labels and two check boxes with validation, the total number of Action Points would be  $1*5+2*3=11$ .

In this way, two completely different applications can be compared in terms of size (and, thus, cost) by counting the Action Points.

## Forms

The types of “things” that can be found on a form can be categorized into five areas. First, there are the “dumb” things, such as labels, images and other “view only” projects. The second group of things includes controls that map to a field in a table, and include text boxes, check boxes, option groups, and so on. The third group includes complex objects like combo boxes, list boxes, grids, etc.

The fourth group of “things” are non-visual - the underlying rules behind controls, such as validation, and behind the entire form, such as form level rules, triggers, and so on. The final group is a set of weights for the form itself - what type of form is it (a simple maintenance form receives a lower weight than a complex form set) - and other environmental considerations such as user security and operating system requirements.



## **Processes**

A process is an operation that runs without user intervention, and thus does not require interface. Some process may require a form in order for the user to provide parameters to control the process, but once initiated, the process generally needs no further interaction.

Processes are tricky - they may seem like one of those “none of the above” types of categories. However, we’ve found that we can generally break a process down into the following operations: (1) match two records in a table, (2) look up a value in another table, (3) assign a value, (4) insert a record, (5) create or delete a table, and (6) write an exception

## **Reports**

A report is any type of output requested by the user - be it a printed list or output to be merged with a word processor.

The types of “things” found on a report map to those on a form. First are the dumb objects like labels and boxes. Next are straightforward output from a table - fields. We create a denormalized cursor that is sent to a report form and so the relationship of fields in the cursor to output objects on the report is generally one-to-one. The third type of thing are calculated fields and expressions - including subtotals, totals, variables, and so on. The fourth type of thing are orders and grouping levels.

Finally, since we invariably use Foxfire! for reporting, we also count how many elements are in each of the metadata tables - data items and joins - that we have set up. The more of this that we have to do, generally the less work is needed in the actual report set up, so it evens out.

## **Foundation**

At times, you will be putting together pieces that are going to go into you foundation. This includes routines or functions that FoxExpress already contains, or that you are going to use to extend VFE. How do you account for these? The answer is that you determine the number of Action Point just like any other Form, Process or Report, but then provide a weight or factor that make discount the tool so that you can spread the cost out among several applications.

## **Other Stuff**

There will be those instances where a component simply doesn't map to one of these predefined categories. In this case, instead of just guessing randomly (remember, that's a bad thing), you can still break the component into smaller pieces, and then make some sort of guess at how many "things" are in each of these pieces.

An example would be an OLE Automation process. Instead of just guessing "Well, I think that will take about two days" you can break out the module into functionality and interfaces, and further identify pieces of the interface like done with the Processes earlier.

## Determining Your Production Cost

Now that we've got a count of Action Points for an application, we simply need to multiply it by the cost per Action Point and we've got the cost of the application. So how do we determine the cost per Action Point?

If you don't like the answer to this one very much, you're not alone. Most people don't. The answer is that you use your history of what it has cost you in the past - and most people don't have those records in sufficient detail. What we've done is take our time records - details of how long we've spent on each component of an application - and then analyzed, in retrospect, how many Action Points were in each application.

From these numbers, we've been able to empirically determine our cost per Action Point.

What do you do if you don't have a history already? The best time to start tracking these costs is now. We track time down to a fairly granular level. We break the work we do into four levels: Customers, Projects, Modules and Tasks. A Project is a unit of work that requires a separate PO or, if the customer doesn't require PO numbers, is broken out for purposes of separate costing by the customer.

A Module is a component of a Project that is a distinct deliverable. For example, a Project may consist of two sets of screens and a reporting section. These may make up three separate Modules - one can be delivered and signed off before another is finished.

A Task is one of those things - Forms, Process, Reports - that can be costed out by itself. We track time against Tasks, and then iterate after completion to tweak the weights we use and make them more accurate.

## **Applying this Model to Visual FoxExpress**

Using a framework such as VFE makes your life a lot easier in two ways.

First, you can automate the counting of the Action Points in an application through an Add-On in VFE. This will ensure that you are consistent and thorough, and you will save time - instead of manually counting the points yourself.

Second, you've got a "secret weapon" that you can use to make the actual manufacturing of your application faster and easier. When the customer sends your functional specification to a competitor and asks them to bid on it, the competitor will see this robust functionality and either be unable to reproduce it, or have to bid so high as to be out of the ballpark. In the event that they lowball the specification, they'll soon wind up in trouble and you'll be getting one of those calls from an embarrassed customer.